Introduction

Opioid overdose deaths continue to increase in the United States, with an estimated 115 Americans dying from these preventable overdoses each day. Due largely to increased injection drug use, rates of hepatitis C virus (HCV) are climbing as well, with an estimated 41,200 new cases in 2016. As highly skilled and accessible medical professionals, pharmacists must embrace a greater role in combating the opioid crisis.

From 1999 to 2014, naloxone saved over 26,000 lives, and thousands of other cases likely went unreported. Naloxone access laws have been enacted in the United States. In the fall of 2017, a survey was sent to all Minnesota-licensed pharmacists assessing their attitudes and beliefs about the opioid epidemic, particularly as pertaining to the opioid overdose antagonist naloxone and syringe exchange.

Methods

A survey was sent to all pharmacists with a license to practice in Minnesota. The frequency of naloxone dispensing and syringe exchange were evaluated using a 5-point Likert scale.

Survey results (n=586) were aggregated at the zip code level (if more than one response) and then assigned a weighted value. Opioid overdose and HCV case rates were grouped into three classifications using natural breaks for the bivariate symbology. Any zip code with fewer than 1000 people was coded as “no data,” as were zip codes without a response.

Results
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Data source: Minnesota Department of Health’s Hospital Discharge Database, Minnesota Department of Health, Injury and Violence Prevention Section, and Minnesota Viral Hepatitis Surveillance System

Discussion

Few zip codes had extremely high rates of both overdose and HCV. However, those that did tended to localize around Native American Reservations across the state. Meanwhile, northern Minnesota struggles more than other regions with HCV. High overdose rates cluster around metro areas such as the Twin Cities and St. Cloud.

The overdose/naloxone dispensing map indicates a low rate of overdose in zip codes in which naloxone is high.

There are no discernible trends in the third map, which overlays HCV case rate with pharmacist comfort with syringe exchange, nor the fourth one, which overlays overdose rate with syringe access. These maps are nonetheless helpful for public health efforts to identify zip codes that could benefit from pharmacist education or intervention.

Conclusion

Although recent legislation has enabled pharmacists to address the opioid crisis, not all have embraced this expanded role, and their regions of practice experience the consequences. Mapping pharmacist engagement with overdose and HCV rates facilitates further pharmacist education and the creation of healthier communities.
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